Message

From: Dan Pope [DPope@css-inc.com]

Sent: 3/15/2017 4:11:08 PM

To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]

CC: Davis, Eva [Davis.Eva@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: WAFB: MODFLOW-SURFACT biodegradation component

Attachments: Microbial Field Test Report.jpg

EBR Field Test Report – Site ST012 Draft_ST012_RD-RAWP_Addendum2_Section2a.pdf

3.5 Recommendations

The data collected for sulfate degradation from the EBR Field Test indicates that sulfate degrading bacteria populations increased and that dispersivity values and sulfate utilization rates were more favorable than the assumed values used in the RD/RAWP EBR modeling. These findings, in combination with previous studies that concluded sulfate reduction was the dominant naturally occurring process for contaminant assimilation (BEM, 1998), indicate that sulfate amendment should be included in the EBR strategy. Sulfate amendment could either be used solely or in combination with aerobic methods to achieve remediation goals.

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [mailto:dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 10:44 AM

To: Dan Pope; Herrmann, Ronald

Cc: Davis, Eva

Subject: RE: WAFB: MODFLOW-SURFACT biodegradation component

But they still don't know if they even have sulfate reducers present

From: Dan Pope [mailto:DPope@css-inc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 7:33 AM

To: Herrmann, Ronald < Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov>; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. < dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>

Cc: Davis, Eva < Davis. Eva@epa.gov>

Subject: WAFB: MODFLOW-SURFACT biodegradation component

FWIW:

Response to EPA Review Comments Draft Addendum #2 RD/RA Work Plan – Site ST012

The 3D groundwater model was not used to simulate biodegradation or reduction of the sulfate. 785 Although MODFLOW – SURFACT includes ACT modules that simulate biodegradation; it was 786 determined that activities at ST012 would exceed the utility of the current model. The required 787 mass of sulfate per injection well was assessed considering the distribution of contamination and 788 the sulfate-reduction stoichiometry (Appendix A and Appendix F). Based on the sulfate reduction 789 rate-kinetics analysis results (Appendix C) and considering the dispersion simulation results, 790 maintaining a sulfate concentration above 8,000 mg/L (double the half-saturation concentration) 791

will reduce the mass of injected sulfate within 4 to 8 years; at a rate of 33 to 75 mg/L per day. 792 Currently, sulfate reduction is rate-limited at a natural flux. The natural flux of sulfate into the CZ, 793 UWBZ, and LSZ is estimated at 12 tons per year (tpy), 0.23 tpy, and 0.66 tpy, respectively, 794 (Appendix E). 795

From: Herrmann, Ronald [mailto:Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 2:30 PM

To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. **Cc:** Davis, Eva; Dan Pope

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

Carolyn, MODFLOW-SURFACT does have a biodegradation component if they used the Reaction Module. Would have to look at what they put into it there model.

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 3:18 PM

To: Herrmann, Ronald < Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov>

Cc: Davis, Eva < Davis. Eva@epa.gov >; Dan Pope < DPope@css-inc.com >

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

When I saw their model animation it looked like dispersion rather than degradation. ©

Carolyn d'Almeida Remedial Project Manager Federal Facilites Branch (SFD 8-1) US EPA Region 9 (415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."

From: Herrmann, Ronald

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 12:13 PM

To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

I thought that MODFLOW was just a dispersion model. I will have to look up the surfact version to see if it includes some biodegradation rates. Wow there is still a NAS page, see link below.

https://toxics.usgs.gov/highlights/nas 2.2.0/

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 3:03 PM

To: Herrmann, Ronald < Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov >; Davis, Eva < Davis.Eva@epa.gov >

Cc: Dan Pope < DPope@css-inc.com>

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

PS: Its been my concern all along that transport (dispersion) might be the primary "degradation" mechanism!

Carolyn d'Almeida Remedial Project Manager Federal Facilites Branch (SFD 8-1) US EPA Region 9 (415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 12:01 PM

To: Herrmann, Ronald < Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov >; Davis, Eva < Davis.Eva@epa.gov >

Cc: Dan Pope < DPope@css-inc.com>

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

Hi Ron

They are using MODFLOW-SURFACT which I think is more for transport; is it appropriate for modeling biodegradation and MNA?

Carolyn d'Almeida Remedial Project Manager Federal Facilites Branch (SFD 8-1) US EPA Region 9 (415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."

From: Herrmann, Ronald

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 11:54 AM

To: Davis, Eva Sava@epa.gov; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. Sava@epa.gov>

Cc: Dan Pope <DPope@css-inc.com>; Cook, Anna-Marie <Cook.Anna-Marie@epa.gov>; McKernan, John

<McKernan.John@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

Hello Everyone,

My expertise is in monitoring microbial populations *in situ* with PLFA analysis. I have worked on both bench scale and field scale projects. I have worked on PAH and PCB removal projects. Lately the majority of my work has been with biosolids land application and how quickly the microbial community recovers or doesn't recover. Also, I have been working on accessing the fate of the microbial community and PFAS in SCAS reactors.

I am interested in your project but not sure how I can help since it looks like you are looking more for a modeler for estimating plume flows. I think that something like the NAS software package from the USGS is what you are looking for. I know of this software but have not every run it, if I remember correctly it should give you the estimates that you are looking for to verify what the AF is saying. I would like to assist in any manner that you see fit.

Ronald F Herrmann USEPA/ ORD/NRMRL Microbiolgist 26 W. Martin Luther King Cincinnati, Ohio 45268 (513) 569-7741

In science, as in all things, we cannot afford to be driven by our own preconceptions of how things ought to be. Rather, we owe ourselves to view the Universe exactly as it is, and to listen to the story it tells us about itself. Ethan Siegel

From: Davis, Eva

Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 10:38 AM

To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <<u>dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov</u>>; Herrmann, Ronald <<u>Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Dan Pope <<u>DPope@css-inc.com</u>>; Cook, Anna-Marie <<u>Cook.Anna-Marie@epa.gov</u>>; McKernan, John

<McKernan.John@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

Ron -

I note that John's email says you are a biologist. Can you tell us more about your expertise?

Thanks Eva

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 1:23 PM

To: Herrmann, Ronald < Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov >

Cc: Davis, Eva Cook.Anna-Marie Cook.Anna-Marie Cook.Anna-Marie Cook.Anna-Marie <a href="mailto:Cook.Anna-mailto:C

Marie@epa.gov>; McKernan, John < McKernan.John@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

Hello Ron

I understand you are interested in helping us at Williams ST12 former fuels spill site. We are already working with Eva Davis at the Ada lab on this project, and have bioremediation support from Dan Pope through their contract with CSS-Dynamac. The expertise we are missing on our team is in review of AFs modeling efforts for biodegradation (time to MNA), groundwater fate and transport, and evaluation of hydraulic containment. I'm attaching our most recent correspondence with AF documenting our concerns with their proposal to give you a quick overview. ST12 is a former jet fuel storage facility where leaks and spills have contaminated vadose zone and groundwater to 230' depth and rising water table (1 – 5 feet per year) has created a 120- LNAPL smear zone. Steam Enhanced Extraction was operated over a 3 acre portion of the site from Oct 2014 – April 2016, removing 2.5 million lbs of hydrocarbons, but terminated before performance objectives were met, and there may be as much as 4 million lbs remaining in the subsurface. The 2013 ROD Amendment specifies meeting MCL for benzene within 20 year time frame, and AF is proposing to use sulfate injection for enhanced anaerobic biodegradation to meet the objective.

If this project looks like a good fit for you and you would like to take it on, let me know and we can set up a call to discuss. Currently we have a meeting scheduled with AF next Thursday at 1 PM PST 3 PM CST in which AF's contractor is expected to provide a presentation of their biodegradation modeling effort.

Carolyn d'Almeida Remedial Project Manager Federal Facilites Branch (SFD 8-1) US EPA Region 9 (415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."

From: McKernan, John

Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 9:06 AM

To: Cook, Anna-Marie < Cook. Anna-Marie@epa.gov>; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. < dAlmeida. Carolyn@epa.gov>

Cc: Herrmann, Ronald < Herrmann.Ronald@epa.gov > Subject: RE: Technical Support for Williams AFB

Hi Anna-Marie and Carolyn-

Ron Herrmann, one of our outstanding biologists here in Cincinnati, has agreed to help at this site. I'm connecting everyone through this email.

Carolyn, please feel free to reach out to Ron regarding what you need (included in the request) for the site and supplying documents to him.

Thank you, and feel free to contact Ron or me with any questions or comments.

John

John McKernan, ScD, CIH
Captain | U.S. Public Health Service
Engineering Technical Support Center (ETSC Internet site)
Technical Support Request SharePoint site

Office: 513.569.7415 | Mobile: 513.284.9717 | Fax: 513.569.7676

McKernan.John@epa.gov

U.S. EPA | ORD 26 W. Martin Luther King Dr., MS 489A Cincinnati, OH 45268

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This electronic communication, including any attached documents, may contain confidential or legally privileged information intended only for recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are prohibited from disclosing, reproducing, distributing or otherwise using this transmission. If you received this communication by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and delete the communication and any attachments.

From: Cook, Anna-Marie

Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 6:55 PM

To: McKernan, John < <u>McKernan.John@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** Technical Support for Williams AFB

Hi John,

I wanted to know whether you had received the Technical Support Request I submitted last week and, if so, whether you had been able to line anyone up so that I can get back to Carolyn.

Thank you! Anna-Marie

Anna-Marie Cook

Superfund and Technology Liaison (STL) - Region 9

ORD - Office of Science and Policy 75 Hawthorne Street, SFD 9-4 San Francisco, CA 94105 phone: 415-972-3029

cook.anna-marie@epa.gov

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 10:51 AM

To: Cook, Anna-Marie < Cook. Anna-Marie@epa.gov>

Subject: Williams

Anna Marie

Any word yet on finding us some ORD modeling support for Williams? We have a call with AF scheduled for next Thursday the 16th to discuss their modelling effort; wondering if we could get someone by then

Carolyn d'Almeida Remedial Project Manager Federal Facilites Branch (SFD 8-1) US EPA Region 9 (415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."